

ScienceDirect



Advances in understanding neural mechanisms of social dominance

Tingting Zhou^{1,2,3}, Carmen Sandi^{4,5} and Hailan Hu^{1,2,5}



Dominance hierarchy profoundly impacts social animals' survival, physical and mental health and reproductive success. As the measurements of dominance hierarchy in rodents become established, it is now possible to understand the neural mechanism mediating the intrinsic and extrinsic factors determining social hierarchy. This review summarizes the latest advances in assay development for measuring dominance hierarchy in laboratory mice. It also reviews our current understandings on how activity and plasticity of specific neural circuits shape the dominance trait and mediate the 'winner effect'.

Addresses

¹ Center for Neuroscience, Key Laboratory of Medical Neurobiology of the Ministry of Health of China, School of Medicine, Interdisciplinary Institute of Neuroscience and Technology, Qiushi Academy for Advanced Studies, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, PR China ² Mental Health Center, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310013, PR China

³ Institute of Neuroscience and State Key Laboratory of Neuroscience, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200031, PR China

⁴ Brain Mind Institute, School of Life Sciences, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland

Corresponding authors: Sandi, Carmen (carmen.sandi@epfl.ch), Hu, Hailan (huhailan@zju.edu.cn)

⁵These authors contributed equally to this work.

Current Opinion in Neurobiology 2018, 49:99-107

This review comes from a themed issue on Neurobiology of behavior

Edited by Kay Tye and Nao Uchida

For a complete overview see the Issue and the Editorial

Available online 8th February 2018

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2018.01.006

0959-4388/© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Social dominance is a universal phenomenon among social animals, ranging from insects [1], fish [2], to rodents [3] and primates [4]. Dominant individuals win more frequently in social competitions. Dominance status strongly impacts an animal's survival, physical and mental health and reproductive success [4–6]. A lack of motivation to compete in social contests may prevent individuals from realizing their potential. Therefore, understanding the central neural mechanism determining social hierarchy status is of critical importance.

Both intrinsic (physical and mental factors that are inherent and located within, for example, body size/strength, courage/fear, grit/persistency, stress level) and extrinsic factors (factors that are not inherent, acting from the outside, for example, environment, state of ally and opponents, experience such as history of winning/losing) contribute to social status determination. To understand the neural mechanisms underlying these intrinsic and extrinsic factors, simple and robust measurements for social dominance hierarchy are essential. In this review, we summarize the latest advances in the development of social dominance assays. We will also discuss the neuroendocrine regulation and circuit-specific neural activity as two examples of intrinsic factors, and the history of winning/losing as an example of extrinsic factor, and review the major findings in understanding how those factors determine social dominance status.

Measurements of dominance hierarchy in laboratory mice

Tube test

The tube test was developed in 1961 to measure dominance tendency between different mouse strains [7]. For a long time, it was used as a standard assay to screen the behavioral phenotypes of genetically modified mouse lines [8]. Wang et al. established in C57Bl/6 inbred cagemate mice that dominance ranks derived from the tube test are highly linear, stable, and correlate well with ranks derived from several other measures that reflect dominance hierarchy, including barbering, courtship ultrasonic vocalization, food competition in the visible burrow system, territory urine marking, and agonistic behaviors [9] (Figure 1). Tube test is simple and robust, introducing little stress and without causing injuries to the animals. It has since been used to investigate social dominance in mouse models of schizophrenia [10[•]], and the impact of dominance status on urination [11[•]], social interaction [12[•]], and vulnerability to social defeat [13^{••}].

To analyze the detailed behavioral interactions during a tube test, Zhou *et al.* conducted a fine-grained video analysis [14^{••}]. They quantified both behaviors generated voluntarily when mice faced an opponent in the tube (push-initiation) and the coping response when the opponent generated a push (push-back, resistance or retreat, Figures 1 and 2). These detailed analyses revealed insights on the potential internal states during social





Different measures of dominance in mice (modified from Wang *et al.* [9]). Performance in each of these paradigms is commonly regulated by dominance but also depends on sensory and motor factors unrelated to dominance (factors M, N, P, Q, X, Y or Z) — for instance, cold tolerance for the warm spot test or agility for the tube test. To rule out the interference of these other factors, we advise using more than one behavioral paradigm to measure social rank, especially between mice of different genetic backgrounds or with drug treatments.

competition: Do mice win by initiating more pushes or by being more persistent and resisting more pushes, or both? Do they lose because of lower endurance or by avoiding social engagement and initiating retreat voluntarily? Indeed, Zhou et al. found that winner mice initiated more pushes with longer duration, generated more push-backs and resistance when being pushed. In contrast, loser mice showed a higher probability of retreat (Figure 2). Understanding the whole process rather than only the outcome may help rule out undesirable secondary effects. For example, a less interesting scenario of winning might be caused by the mouse remaining still all the time until the opponent retreats. It is important to distinguish these possibilities especially when analyzing animals with genetic modifications or with drug treatments (Figure 1). Defects in locomotion, social memory and muscle

strength also need to be ruled out before conclusions about social dominance can be made [14^{••}].

Warm spot test

In addition to the assays mentioned above, a novel social hierarchy paradigm — the warm spot test — has been recently developed, making use of animals' desire to stay warm [14^{••}]. In this test, four cagemate mice are placed on an ice-cold floor with a warm spot in the corner, which can only accommodate one mouse at a time. Mice show competition to occupy the warm corner (Figure 1). The amount of time each mouse occupies the warm spot within the 20-min test is used to score social dominance. The warm spot test mimics the natural competition where the resources are limited and dominant individuals pay more efforts to occupy the desirable territory. Social



Figure 2

Neural mechanism of win/lose determination during tube test. (a) Behavior patterns of two mice in tube test are illustrated by different colorblocks in the grey shade. Mice with higher neural activity in the dmPFC generate more effortful behaviors (including push and resistance) and less passive behavior (retreat) during tube test confrontations, leading to winning. Activation/inactivation of dmPFC increase/decrease effortful behaviors and lead to winning/losing respectively. (b) Sample behavior annotations of a pair of mice in a tube test trial. (c) Winner mice generate more and longer push initiations and push-backs, and show more resistance when being pushed, while loser mice show a higher probability of retreat. Modified from Zhou *et al.* [14**].

dominance hierarchy ranked by the warm spot test correlates well with the tube test rank, cross-validating that these two assays share dominance as a common core variable.

A single behavioral assay can be affected by multiple sensory and motor factors, and each assay can also have its own caveats. For example, warm spot test can be affected by variation in temperature sensitivity or cold tolerance across individual mice. Tube test can be affected by agility or stress. Thus to increase confidence on the conclusion about social dominance, it is ideal to use at least two different dominance assays (Figure 1). When mice behave more dominant in multiple assays, the caveats of each assay can then be minimized.

The establishment of these simple and robust measures of social hierarchy has enabled investigation into the neural mechanism of social dominance determination. Both internal traits (size, weight, courage/fear, grit/persistency, aggressiveness, social and fighting skills) and external factors (prior history of winning, allies, prior residency) can have a significant impact on dominance status. Below we review the neural mechanism underlying some of these intrinsic (neuroendocrine, neural circuit activity) and extrinsic (winning experience) factors in social dominance determination. We also summarize the major findings on factors regulating social dominance in rodents in Table 1.

Neural mechanism underlying the intrinsic trait of social dominance Neuroendocrine mechanism

To understand the factors contributing to internal traits, early studies were centered around hormonal effects and identified that the level of androgens, especially testosterone, plays a correlative or even causal role in shaping the dominance trait [16-20]. Testosterone levels rise rapidly (within 45 min) [21] in species ranging from rodents to humans after social competition, generally more in winners than in losers [22]. After testosterone injection, low-ranked hens increased their pecking order and rose to the top of the hierarchy [23]. Conversely, dominance behaviors disappeared in castrated rats [24]. However, such hormonal manipulations were mostly administrated chronically, and changes in dominance hierarchy were only observed weeks or even months afterwards [23]. This time delay makes it difficult to interpret whether testosterone acts gradually to reshape the animals' dominance character, or whether reorganization of social hierarchy structure requires accumulated changes in social interactions.

Less obvious is the relationship between levels of glucocorticoid stress hormones (corticosterone or cortisol) and social dominance, which appears to be complex and depends on several aspects of the social context. Subordinates generally show higher glucocorticoid levels than dominant individuals [6,25], which seems to be particularly the case in colonies with despotic dominants [26]. However, under social instability or high reproductive pressures, high-ranking male monkeys display higher cortisol levels than low-ranking males, suggesting that dominance may also carry costs [6,27]. While this evidence suggests that corticosterone might merely reflect individual's stress state regardless of social rank, studies in rats have highlighted a role for corticosterone in the consolidation of a subordinate status. Acute stress experienced by one of two male rats during their first encounter leads to social subordination and facilitates the

Та	bl	е	1
		-	

Underlying factors	Animals (all in males)	Dominance assay used	Major findings related to social dominance
Neural circuit activity	C57BL/6 mice	Tube test Ultrasound vocalization Agonistic interaction Allogrooming Territory urine marking	Tube test rank is linear, transitive and correlates well with ranks measured by other methods. Synaptic strength in dmPFC determines social dominance status [9].
	C57BL/6 mice	Tube test Warm spot test	Activity of dmPFC neurons instantaneously controls social dominance behavior. MDT-dmPFC pathway mediates the winner effect that can be generalized [14**].
	C57BL/6 mice	Tube test	Metabolic profile in NAc is related to both social status and vulnerability to stress [13**].
	Wistar rats	Offensive and defensive behaviors during social encounter	Mitochondrial function in NAc is important for social hierarchy establishment and relates to the low social status associated with high anxiety [61].
	Wistar rats	Offensive and defensive behaviors during social encounter	Systemic injection of anxiolytic drug diazepam improves social competitiveness and NAc mitochondrial function [63].
Neuroendocrine	Mus musculus	Offensive and defensive behaviors during social encounter	Testosterone level in male mice correlates with dominance status [16].
	CD1 mice	Offensive and defensive behaviors in pair-house and large vivarium	Social environment is a key modulator of the relationship between social status and corticosterone and testosterone levels [26].
	CD1 mice	Offensive and defensive behaviors in large vivarium	Elevated GnRH level in the mPOA detected in subdominant mice in a social ascending paradigm [33**].
Long-Evans rats Long-Evans rats	Long-Evans rats	Agonistic behaviors in resident- intruder test	Adding testosterone in the preoptic area of castrated rats restores dominance [24].
	Body weight combined with offensive and defensive behaviors in VBS	Subordinate has higher glucocorticoid levels than dominate individuals [25].	
	Wistar rats	Water competition test	Stress shapes social hierarchy establishment by promoting memory of hierarchy [28].
Wistar rats Wistar rats		Food and water competition test	Corticosterone level following a first social encounter modulates social status [29].
	Food and water competition test	Oxytocin in the medial amygdala regulates stress potentiated social hierarchy [30].	
Genetics A/alb mice C3H mice DBA/8 mice C57BL/6 X S129Sv mice C57BL/6 mice	C3H mice	Tube test	This study compared the tube test dominance between different mouse strains, and the results are: A/alb>C3H>DBA/8 [7].
		Tube test Allogrooming	Mice with schizophrenia-linked mutation show dominance-like behaviors including tube test dominance and barbering [10*].
	C57BL/6 mice	Offensive and defensive behaviors during social encounter	Dominant mice express more male pheromones and this trait is heritable [81].
	CD1 mice	Offensive and defensive behaviors in large vivarium	Social status is associated with variation in mRNA of plasticity genes in hippocampus [80].
	CD1 mice	Offensive and defensive behaviors in large vivarium	Individual variation in dominance status is associated with brain gene expression [15].

long-term expression of subordination in future encounters [28]. The long-term facilitation of a recently acquired subordinate, but not dominant, status is also achieved by increasing post-encounter corticosterone levels following a first social encounter [29]. Furthermore, the potentiation of a social hierarchy by stress involves the engagement of the social neuropeptide system oxytocin in the medial amygdala [30], in line with a role of this system in social recognition [31] and social dominance [32].

The gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) has also been implicated in the plasticity of social hierarchy. In an

interesting social opportunity paradigm, where removal of the alpha male from group-living mice leads to immediate aggression of subdominant mice toward subordinates, an elevated GnRH mRNA level in the medial preopic area (mPOA) of the hypothalamus is detected in these subdominant mice [33^{••}].

Neural circuit mechanism

Recently, accumulating evidence has implied that social dominance might be regulated by the activity of specific neural circuits, especially circuits in the higher cortical areas of the central nervous system [34,35]. The prefrontal cortex (PFC), in particular, has been implicated in

coding several cognitive features that might be related to social hierarchy behavior, including social status recognition and representation [36,37], social norm compliance [38], cost-benefit analysis and effort-based decision making [39–42], as well as action planning in challenging or competitive situations [36,43,44]. The volume of PFC grey matter in monkeys and humans correlates with social success [45,46]. Consistently, lesions in PFC impair processing of social hierarchy information, reduce social interest and lower social rank [47-50]. Furthermore, viral-based molecular manipulations that increase or decrease the synaptic efficacy of dorsal mPFC (dmPFC) neurons in mice cause a gradual upward or downward shift in their social status, respectively [9]. However, although the effects of these viral experiments have a quicker onset (12-48 hours) than the lesion studies (days to weeks), it is still not fast enough to distinguish whether mPFC controls dominance behavior through neuronal activation directly, or indirectly by altering testosterone level.

Most recently, taking advantage of temporally precise optogenetic tools, Zhou et al. discovered that optogenetic excitation of dmPFC causes winning in an unexpectedly fast manner (<1 min). Furthermore, single-unit tetrodes recording and video analysis revealed that a population of dmPFC neurons are activated during the effortful (push or resist) behavioral epochs in the tube test [14^{••}]. Importantly, dmPFC activation does not seem to enhance dominance by changing general locomotion, anxiety, social memory, basal aggression, muscle strength or testosterone level. Rather, dmPFC activation causes the initiation and maintenance of more effortful behaviors in social competition, suggesting that mPFC-based cognitive processes might provide a neurobiological foundation for dominance-associated traits, such as grit or competitive drive (Figure 2).

Which upstream brain region may feed relevant sensory information into the dmPFC for its cost-benefit analysis during social competition? The mediodorsal thalamus (MDT), as a higher order thalamic relay, shows strong reciprocal connections with the mPFC [51–53]. The MDT input into the mPFC is critical for the maintenance of working memory [54] and attentional control [55]. As an olfactory thalamus, the MDT processes olfactory information [56] and 40% of neurons in the MD are activated by social interaction [57], making it an ideal upstream input to convey sensory information with social content. Selective optogenetic activation of the synaptic input from the MDT to dmPFC is sufficient to induce tube test winning, highlighting the importance of this pathway in dominance behavior [14^{••}].

Another brain area that is emerging as critically involved in social competitiveness and, consequently, in the early stages of social hierarchy formation, is the nucleus accumbens (NAc). Its role is supported by human neuroimaging data [37,58] and rodent studies involving a variety of approaches; that is, from lesion [59] and neurochemical [60] experiments to immediate early gene brain mapping and psychopharmacological inactivation [61]. Importantly, mitochondrial function in the NAc has been recently shown to play a crucial role in social hierarchy establishment, particularly in mediating the influence of anxiety on social competition [61]. Individuals high in trait anxiety show low social competitiveness [61.62] and impaired mitochondrial function mediates this effect [61]. Conversely, boosting NAc mitochondrial function either through intra-accumbal infusion of nicotinamide [61] or by systemic injections of the anxiolytic drug diazepam [63] improve social competitiveness. Dopamine receptor 1-containing medium spiny neurons were implicated in these effects [61, 63]. In the future, it will be important to determine whether accumbal mitochondrial function is implicated not only in the establishment but also in the maintenance of social rank in long-lasting social groups. In support of this possibility is recent ¹H-NMR spectroscopy data indicating that subordinate mice in well-established colonies show lower levels of energy-related metabolites in the NAc than dominant ones [13**].

Neural mechanism mediating the external regulation of social dominance — the 'winner effect'

Among the external factors that can regulate social dominance, prior history of winning or losing can influence an animal's self-assessment and is an important parameter for the cost-benefit computation in a social competition. The 'winner/loser effect', by which winners or losers in previous competitions are more likely to keep winning or losing in future contests [64], exists across a wide range of animal taxa, ranging from insects [65,66[•]], crayfish [67], fish [68], birds [69] to humans [70]. The reinforcement generated through the 'winner effect' can have a long-lasting impact on social hierarchy [71].

Neural mechanism of the winner effect

Earlier work dissecting the neural mechanisms of 'winner effect' focused on changes in the neuroendocrine system including corticosteroid, androgen, testosterone and serotonin, after repeated winning [72-75]. Recent studies have begun to look into the plasticity of specific neural pathways. In zebra fish, Chou et al. found that silencing of the lateral subregion of the dorsal habenula (dHbL) eliminates the 'winner effect' [76**]. The synaptic transmission in the dHbL-dorsal/intermediate interpeduncular nucleus (d/iIPN) circuit is weakened by losing experience but remains unchanged by winning [76^{••}]. In mice, inspired by the finding that the MDT-dmPFC circuit shows synaptic weakening after repeated social defeats [77^{••}], Zhou *et al.* tested whether the same MDT-dmPFC pathway might mediate the 'winner effect'. They found that the synaptic strength in the MDT-dmPFC pathway



Plasticity of the MDT to dmPFC synapses mediates the winner effect. Repeated winning potentiates the synapses of the MDT to dmPFC pathway. Optical LTP or LTD induction in these MDT-dmPFC synapses converts subordinate mice into dominants, and vice versa, respectively.

is enhanced after repeated winning. Importantly, optogenetic induction of long-term potentiation (LTP) in the MDT-mPFC synapses directly causes sustained tube test winning, whereas optogenetic long-term depression (LTD) in the MDT-mPFC pathway eliminates the winner effect (Figure 3).

Generalized 'winner effect'

Animals encounter different forms of competition, over food, water, territory, or mates, in establishing social hierarchy. Earlier studies of the 'winner effect' were restricted to the impact of winning on the same behavioral paradigm [64], which might not capture the full complexity of social confrontations in the real world. A generalized form of the 'winner effect', where dominance transfers from one type of contest (tube test) to another (warm spot test), was recently described [14^{••}]. Specifically, repeated tube test winning induced by optogenetic activation of dmPFC causes rank elevation in the warm spot test. It is proposed that this transferability of the 'winner effect' might reciprocally reinforce the dominance status in differential social contests, facilitating the formation and stabilization of dominance hierarchy.

Concluding remarks and future directions

It is an exciting time to be studying the neural mechanisms of social hierarchy. The field is emerging and many key issues remain to be addressed.

First a series of questions need to be addressed at the neural circuit level. As the dmPFC contains heterogeneous neural populations, it will be important to determine how cells of different types or with different projections within the dmPFC microcircuit differentially contribute to the control of social dominance. To understand how dmPFC regulates social dominance through top-down control of different subcortical nuclei, different downstream pathways need to be deconstructed. As one of the downstream targets of dmPFC, and given its involvement in reward and effort, the role of the NAc in social hierarchy needs to be further dissected. Furthermore, as the experience of winning or losing is rewarding or aversive, respectively, and can change the endocrine system, it will be interesting to figure out how hormones or reward-related neuromodulators interplay with the synaptic plasticity mechanism to mediate the winner effect.

Second, the organization of complex social structure and maintenance of social hierarchy rely on individual recognition, social memory and reinforcement learning process [78–81,82^{••},83]. It will be very interesting to resolve the role of these processes in the construction of social hierarchy.

Third, the trait of dominance can be genetically selected [81,84]. Yet isogenic mice with almost identical genetic background still establish robust transitive linear social hierarchy [9]. Thus both genetic and epigenetic factors can be instrumental in the establishment of social hierarchy and need to be identified.

Last, but not least, as seen in Table 1, most studies on social dominance in rodents have been conducted in male animals. Dominance hierarchy is thought to be established through aggressive interactions during initial encounters, which is apparent in male mice but not females. It remains an outstanding question how female mice establish social hierarchy without overt aggression.

Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

Acknowledgements

We thank Kay Tye and anonymous reviewers for stimulating discussions and suggestions. This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (#91432108, #31225010, and #81527901) to H.H.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Cole BJ: Dominance hierarchies in leptothorax ants. Science 1. 1981 212:83-84
- Grosenick L. Clement TS. Fernald RD: Fish can infer social rank 2. by observation alone. Nature 2007, 445:429-432.
- 3. Desjardins C, Maruniak JA, Bronson FH: Social rank in house mice: differentiation revealed by ultraviolet visualization of urinary marking patterns. Science 1973, 182:939-941.
- 4. Dunbar RI, Dunbar EP: Dominance and reproductive success among female gelada baboons. Nature 1977, 266:351-352.
- Bercovitch FB. Clarke AS: Dominance rank. cortisol 5. concentrations, and reproductive maturation in male rhesus macagues. Physiol Behav 1995, 58:215-221.
- 6. Sapolsky RM: The influence of social hierarchy on primate health. Science 2005, 308:648-652.
- 7. Lindzey G, Winston H, Manosevitz M: Social dominance in inbred mouse strains. Nature 1961, 191:474-476.
- Crawley JN: Behavioral phenotyping of transgenic and 8. knockout mice: experimental design and evaluation of general health, sensory functions, motor abilities, and specific behavioral tests. Brain Res 1999. 835:18-26.
- Wang F, Zhu J, Zhu H, Zhang Q, Lin Z, Hu H: Bidirectional control 9. of social hierarchy by synaptic efficacy in medial prefrontal cortex. Science 2011, 334:693-697.
- Zhou Y, Kaiser T, Monteiro P, Zhang X, Van der Goes MS, Wang D, 10.
- Barak B, Zeng M, Li C, Lu C et al.: Mice with Shank3 mutations associated with ASD and schizophrenia display both shared and distinct defects. Neuron 2016, 89:147-162.

This work identifies a mouse line with schizophrenia-linked mutation showing strong dominance-like behavior, including winning in the tube test and barbering.

- Hou XH, Hyun M, Taranda J, Huang KW, Todd E, Feng D,
 Atwater E, Croney D, Zeidel ML, Osten P et al.: Central control circuit for context-dependent micturition. Cell 2016, 167 73-86 e12

This study shows that male mice regulate micturition behavior based on context and social rank as measured by the tube test. They dissected the role of MPOA to PMC neural circuit in modulating this rank dependence of micturition.

- Matthews GA, Nieh EH, Vander Weele CM, Halbert SA,
 Pradhan RV, Yosafat AS, Glober GF, Izadmehr EM, Thomas RE, Lacy GD et al.: Dorsal raphe dopamine neurons represent the experience of social isolation. Cell 2016, 164:617-631.

This paper demonstrates that dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) dopamine (DA) neurons are sensitive to acute social isolation. The effects on social behaviors induced by optogenetic manipulation of the DRN-DA correlates with social rank.

- Larrieu T, Cherix A, Duque A, Rodrigues J, Lei H, Gruetter R, 13.
- Sandi C: Hierarchical status predicts behavioral vulnerability •• and nucleus accumbens metabolic profile following chronic social defeat stress. Curr Biol 2017, 27 2202-2210 e2204.

Using the tube test, this study shows that dominant mice are susceptible to the development of depression-like behaviors after social defeat. It also reveals that the metabolic profile in NAc is related to both social status and vulnerability to stress.

- 14. Zhou T, Zhu H, Fan Z, Wang F, Chen Y, Liang H, Yang Z, Zhang L, Lin L, Zhan Y et al.: History of winning remodels ••
- thalamo-PFC circuit to reinforce social dominance. Science 2017. 357:162-168.

This paper establishes a direct causal role of dmPFC in determining social dominance. It identifies the first neural pathway, the MD-dmPFC pathway, in mediating the winner effect in mammals. This study also establishes the warm spot test as a new measurement of social dominance and demonstrates the generalization of the winner effect in mice.

- 15. So N, Franks B, Lim S, Curley JP: A social network approach reveals associations between mouse social dominance and brain gene expression. PLOS ONE 2015, 10:e0134509.
- 16. Zielinski WJ, Vandenbergh JG: Testosterone and competitive ability in male house mice, Mus Musculus - laboratory and field studies. Anim Behav 1993, 45:873-891.
- 17. Sapolsky RM: Endocrine aspects of social instability in the olive baboon (Papio anubis). Am J Primatol 1983, 5:365-379.
- 18. Gesquiere LR, Learn NH, Simao MCM, Onyango PO, Alberts SC, Altmann J: Life at the top: rank and stress in wild male baboons. Science 2011, 333:357-360.
- 19. van der Westhuizen D, Solms M: Social dominance and the affective neuroscience personality scales. Conscious Cogn 2015. 33:90-111.
- Mazur A. Booth A: Testosterone and dominance in men. Behav 20. Brain Sci 1998. 21:353-363 discussion 363-397.
- Marler CA, Oyegbile TO, Plavicki J, Trainor BC: Response to Wingfield's commentary on "A continuing saga: the role of testosterone in aggression". Horm Behav 2005, 48:256-258.
- 22. Fry AC, Schilling BK, S.J. F, W.J. K: Relationships between competitive wrestling success and neuroendocrine responses. J Strength Cond Res 2011.
- 23. Allee WC, Collias NE, Lutherman CZ; Modification of the social order in flocks of hens by injection of testosterone propionate. Physiol Zool 1939, 12:412-441.
- 24. Bean NJ, Conner R: Central hormonal replacement and home-cage dominance in castrated rats. Horm Behav 1978, 11:100-109.
- 25. Hardy MP, Sottas CM, Ge R, McKittrick CR, Tamashiro KL, McEwen BS, Haider SG, Markham CM, Blanchard RJ, Blanchard DC *et al.*: **Trends of reproductive hormones in male** rats during psychosocial stress: role of glucocorticoid metabolism in behavioral dominance. Biol Reprod 2002, **67**:1750-1755.
- 26. Williamson CM, Lee W, Romeo RD, Curley JP: Social contextdependent relationships between mouse dominance rank and plasma hormone levels. Physiol Behav 2017, 171:110-119.
- 27. Barrett GM, Shimizu K, Bardi M, Asaba S, Mori A: Endocrine correlates of rank, reproduction, and female-directed aggression in male Japanese macaques (Macaca fuscata). Horm Behav 2002, 42:85-96.
- 28. Cordero MI, Sandi C: Stress amplifies memory for social hierarchy. Front Neurosci 2007, 1:175-184.
- 29. Timmer M, Sandi C: A role for glucocorticoids in the long-term establishment of a social hierarchy. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2010. 35:1543-1552.
- 30. Timmer M, Cordero MI, Sevelinges Y, Sandi C: Evidence for a role of oxytocin receptors in the long-term establishment of dominance hierarchies. Neuropsychopharmacology 2011, 36:2349-2356.
- 31. Song Z, Larkin TE, Malley MO, Albers HE: Oxytocin (OT) and arginine-vasopressin (AVP) act on OT receptors and not AVP V1a receptors to enhance social recognition in adult Syrian hamsters (Mesocricetus auratus). Horm Behav 2016, 81:20-27.
- 32. Lazzari VM, Becker RO, de Azevedo MS, Morris M, Rigatto K, Almeida S, Lucion AB, Giovenardi M: Oxytocin modulates social interaction but is not essential for sexual behavior in male mice. Behav Brain Res 2013, 244:130-136.
- Williamson CM, Romeo RD, Curley JP: Dynamic changes in
 social dominance and mPOA GnRH expression in male mice following social opportunity. Horm Behav 2017, 87:80-88.

This study establishes an interesting social opportunity paradigm as an ethologically relevant approach for studying competence and behavioral plasticity in mammals. It shows that subdominant male mice immediately increase aggressive behavior and gonadotropin-releasing hormone in response to the removal of alpha male.

- 34. Rushworth MF, Mars RB, Sallet J: Are there specialized circuits for social cognition and are they unique to humans? *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2013, 23:436-442.
- **35.** Fernald RD: **Cognitive skills needed for social hierarchies**. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 2014, **79**:229-236.
- 36. Fujii N, Hihara S, Nagasaka Y, Iriki A: Social state representation in prefrontal cortex. Soc Neurosci 2009, 4:73-84.
- Zink CF, Tong Y, Chen Q, Bassett DS, Stein JL, Meyer-Lindenberg A: Know your place: neural processing of social hierarchy in humans. *Neuron* 2008, 58:273-283.
- Spitzer M, Fischbacher U, Herrnberger B, Grön G, Fehr E: The neural signature of social norm compliance. *Neuron* 2007, 56:185-196.
- Walton ME, Bannerman DM, Rushworth MF: The role of rat medial frontal cortex in effort-based decision making. J Neurosci 2002, 22:10996-11003.
- Friedman A, Homma D, Gibb LG, Ken-ichi Amemori SJR, Hood Adam S, Riad Michael H, Graybiel Ann M: A corticostriatal path targeting striosomes controls decision-making under conflict. Cell 2015, 161:p1320-p1333.
- Bailey MR, Simpson EH, Balsam PD: Neural substrates underlying effort, time, and risk-based decision making in motivated behavior. Neurobiol Learn Mem 2016, 133:233-256.
- Holroyd CB, McClure SM: Hierarchical control over effortful behavior by rodent medial frontal cortex: a computational model. *Psychol Rev* 2015, 122:54-83.
- Hillman KL, Bilkey DK: Neural encoding of competitive effort in the anterior cingulate cortex. Nat Neurosci 2012, 15:1290-1297.
- Hosokawa T, Watanabe M: Prefrontal neurons represent winning and losing during competitive video shooting games between monkeys. J Neurosci 2012, 32:7662-7671.
- Sallet J, Mars RB, Noonan MP, Andersson JL, O'Reilly JX, Jbabdi S, Croxson PL, Jenkinson M, Miller KL, Rushworth MF: Social network size affects neural circuits in macaques. Science 2011, 334:697-700.
- Lewis PA, Rezaie R, Brown R, Roberts N, Dunbar RIM: Ventromedial prefrontal volume predicts understanding of others and social network size. *Neuroimage* 2011, 57:1624-1629.
- Mah L, Arnold MC, Grafman J: Impairment of social perception associated with lesions of the prefrontal cortex. Am J Psychiatry 2004, 161:1247-1255.
- 48. Blair RJ, Cipolotti L: Impaired social response reversal. A case of 'acquired sociopathy'. Brain 2000, 123:1122-1141.
- Rudebeck PH, Buckley MJ, Walton ME, Rushworth MF: A role for the macaque anterior cingulate gyrus in social valuation. Science 2006, 313:1310-1312.
- Rudebeck PH, Walton ME, Millette BH, Shirley E, Rushworth MF, Bannerman DM: Distinct contributions of frontal areas to emotion and social behaviour in the rat. *Eur J Neurosci* 2007, 26:2315-2326.
- Hoover WB, Vertes RP: Anatomical analysis of afferent projections to the medial prefrontal cortex in the rat. Brain Struct Funct 2007, 212:149-179.
- Browning PG, Chakraborty S, Mitchell AS: Evidence for mediodorsal thalamus and prefrontal cortex interactions during cognition in macaques. *Cereb Cortex* 2015, 25:4519-4534.
- 53. Saalmann YB: Intralaminar and medial thalamic influence on cortical synchrony, information transmission and cognition. *Front Syst Neurosci* 2014, **8**:83.
- Bolkan SS, Stujenske JM, Parnaudeau S, Spellman TJ, Rauffenbart C, Abbas AI, Harris AZ, Gordon JA, Kellendonk C: Thalamic projections sustain prefrontal activity during working memory maintenance. Nat Neurosci 2017, 20:987-996.

- Schmitt LI, Wimmer RD, Nakajima M, Happ M, Mofakham S, Halassa MM: Thalamic amplification of cortical connectivity sustains attentional control. *Nature* 2017, 545:219-223.
- Slotnick BM, Kaneko N: Role of mediodorsal thalamic nucleus in olfactory discrimination learning in rats. Science 1981, 214:91-92.
- 57. Jodo E, Katayama T, Okamoto M, Suzuki Y, Hoshino K, Kayama Y: Differences in responsiveness of mediodorsal thalamic and medial prefrontal cortical neurons to social interaction and systemically administered phencyclidine in rats. *Neuroscience* 2010, 170:1153-1164.
- Le Bouc R, Pessiglione M: Imaging social motivation: distinct brain mechanisms drive effort production during collaboration versus competition. J Neurosci 2013, 33:15894-15902.
- Fantin G, Bottecchia D: Effect of nucleus accumbens destruction in rat. Experientia 1984, 40:573-575.
- 60. Anstrom KK, Miczek KA, Budygin EA: Increased phasic dopamine signaling in the mesolimbic pathway during social defeat in rats. *Neuroscience* 2009, **161**:3-12.
- Hollis F, van der Kooij MA, Zanoletti O, Lozano L, Canto C, Sandi C: Mitochondrial function in the brain links anxiety with social subordination. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015, 112:15486-15491.
- Goette L, Bendahan S, Thoresen J, Hollis F, Sandi C: Stress pulls us apart: anxiety leads to differences in competitive confidence under stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2015, 54:115-123.
- 63. van der Kooij MA, Hollis F, Lozano L, Zalachoras I, Abad S, Zanoletti O, Grosse J, Guillot de Suduiraut I, Canto C, Sandi C: Diazepam actions in the VTA enhance social dominance and mitochondrial function in the nucleus accumbens by activation of dopamine D1 receptors. *Mol Psychiatry* 2017.
- 64. Hsu Y, Earley RL, Wolf LL: Modulation of aggressive behaviour by fighting experience: mechanisms and contest outcomes. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc 2006, 81:33-74.
- 65. Khazraie K, Campan M: The role of prior agonistic experience in dominance relationships in male crickets *Gryllus bimaculatus* (Orthoptera: Gryllidae). *Behav Processes* 1999, **44**:341-348.
- 66. Trannoy S, Penn J, Lucey K, Popovic D, Kravitz EA: Short and
 long-lasting behavioral consequences of agonistic encounters between male *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016, 113:4818-4823.

This study shows that repeated defeats induced a long-lasting loser effect that was dependent on *de novo* protein synthesis, whereas repeated victories had no long-term (longer than 1 day) behavioral consequences in *drosophila melanogaster*.

- Bergman DA, Kozlowski CP, Mcintyre JC, Huber R, Daws AG, Moore PA: Temporal dynamics and communication of winnereffects in the crayfish. *Behavior* 2003, 140.
- Hsu Y, Wolf LL: The winner and loser effect: intergrating multiple experiences. *Anim Behav* 2001, 57:903-910.
- 69. Popp JW: Effects of experience on agonistic behavior among American goldfinches. Behav Processes 1988, 16:11-19.
- Zilioli S, Watson NV: Testosterone across successive competitions: evidence for a 'winner effect' in humans? Psychoneuroendocrinology 2014, 47:1-9.
- Laskowski KL, Wolf M, Bierbach D: The making of winners (and losers): how early dominance interactions determine adult social structure in a clonal fish. Proc R Soc B 2016, 283.
- Li CY, Earley RL, Huang SP, Hsu Y: Fighting experience alters brain androgen receptor expression dependent on testosterone status. Proc R Soc B 2014, 281:20141532.
- Fuxjager MJ, Oyegbile TO, Marler CA: Independent and additive contributions of postvictory testosterone and social experience to the development of the winner effect. Endocrinology 2011, 152:3422-3429.
- 74. Schwartzer JJ, Ricci LA, Melloni RH Jr: Prior fighting experience increases aggression in Syrian hamsters: implications for a

role of dopamine in the winner effect. Aggress Behav 2013, **39**:290-300

- 75. Chang C, Li CY, Earley RL, Hsu Y: Aggression and related behavioral traits: the impact of winning and losing and the role of hormones. Integr Comp Biol 2012, 52:801-813.
- Chou MY, Amo R, Kinoshita M, Cherng BW, Shimazaki H,
 Agetsuma M, Shiraki T, Aoki T, Takahoko M, Yamazaki M et al.: Social conflict resolution regulated by two dorsal habenular subregions in zebrafish. Science 2016, 352:87-90. This study found that synaptic transmission in the dHbL-dorsal/inter-

mediate interpeduncular nucleus (d/iIPN) circuit is weakened by losing experience and silencing of the lateral subregion of dorsal habenula (dHbL) eliminates the 'winner effect' in zebra fish.

- Franklin TB, Silva BA, Perova Z, Marrone L, Masferrer ME, Zhan Y, 77.
- Kaplan A, Greetham L, Verrechia V, Halman A et al.: Prefrontal •• cortical control of a brainstem social behavior circuit. Nat Neurosci 2017, 20:260-270.

This study shows that the synaptic strength of the MDT to mPFC afferent input is weakened during social defeat, providing the first evidence that the MDT-mPFC pathway is modulated by social interactions.

78. Sheehan MJ, Tibbetts EA: Robust long-term social memories in a paper wasp. Curr Biol 2008, 18:R851-R852.

- 79. Lee W, Khan A, Curley JP: Major urinary protein levels are associated with social status and context in mouse social hierarchies.Proc. R. Soc. B. 2017.
- 80. Williamson CM, Franks B, Curley JP: Mouse social network dynamics and community structure are associated with plasticity-related brain gene expression. Front Behav Neurosci 2016, 10:152.
- 81. Fang Q, Zhang YH, Shi YL, Zhang JH, Zhang JX: Individuality and transgenerational inheritance of social dominance and sex pheromones in isogenic male mice. J Exp Zool Part B Mol Dev Evolut 2016, 326:225-236.
- 82. Ligneul R, Obeso I, Ruff CC, Dreher JC: Dynamical

representation of dominance relationships in the human .. rostromedial prefrontal cortex. Curr Bio 2016, 26:3107-3115. This study demonstrates that social dominance status and associated prediction errors are encoded in the rmPFC. rmPFC stimulation shifts the weight of victories and defeats for dominance learning.

- 83. Qu C, Ligneul R, Van der Henst JB, Dreher JC: An integrative interdisciplinary perspective on social dominance hierarchies. Trends Cong Sci 2017, 21:893-908.
- 84. Masur J, Benedito MA: Genetic selection of winner and loser rats in a competitive situation. Nature 1974, 249:284.